UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,727	12/10/2004	George Thompson	P9450-0305	6044
Sandra Poteat T	7590 11/20/200 Thompson	EXAMINER		
Buchalter Neme	er	THOMPSON, GREGORY D		
A Professional Corporation 18400 Von Karman, Suite 800 Irvine, CA 92612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/517,727	THOMPSON, GEORGE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gregory D. Thompson	2835		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/0 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition	epted or b) objected to by the Edirawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/517,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

1. Below are just a few examples of 112 problems with the RCE filed on 10/8/08?

All claims should be carefully review and corrected.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6 and 11-12,15-18 are confusing for claims 1 and 11 <u>now recite</u> "a meter base and meter" and the dependent claims (2,12 and etc.) <u>recite</u> "a meter base assembly, a meter base and a meter"; respectively.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 8-13,15-18 and 20-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Langdon et al (4,977,482).

Langdon teaches a power assembly system in Figs. 5-9, comprising: a collar unit 16 with a sleeve 96; and an internal power unit 114/116 (AC to DC converter) which is located entirely within the collar unit 16, wherein the internal power unit 114/116 is coupled (broad term) to the collar unit 16. A power assembly couple, comprising: the power assembly system (the collar unit 16 and power unit 114/116) and a meter base assembly composed of a meter 12 and meter base (broad term) having contacts 28, 30,

Application/Control Number: 10/517,727

Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

wherein the meter base assembly is coupled (broad term) to the power assembly system to form the power assembly couple. The meter base assembly is located outdoors. The meter base assembly measures metered electricity. The collar unit 16 protects the internal power unit 114/116 from environmental conditions (broad term). The couple further comprises an external electronic component (broad term) the optical network interface, col.7, lines 28-36 at the end of the male plug 110 via element (wire) 108 in Fig. 8. Regarding claims 5 and 17, col. 1, lines 55-57 refer to residential location or house sites. The broad method limitations would be fully met by the structure discussed above.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1,7,11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langdon (4,977,482).

Langdon teaches the power assembly as discussed above. Langdon does not teach that the collar unit 16 is ejection molded. However, it is considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the well-known process of ejection molding the unit 16 out of the well-known material of plastic for example to provide excellent protection from environmental conditions to prolong the life of 16.

Art Unit: 2835

8. Claims 11-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langdon et al (4,977,482).

Langdon teaches the broad method limitations from the structure discussed above. Langdon does not teach that the component (optical network interface) has a circuit board. However, it is considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a well-known circuit board with components thereon in the interface to provide the desired mounting of the components and provide the electrical connection between the components of the interface to provide the desired electrical action.

9. Applicant's arguments filed 10/8/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The power unit 114 which is composed of body 116 and the voltage converting circuit (col. 7, lines 37 through col. 8, lines 1-7 (Figs. 8-9) and claim 14) is located entirely within the collar unit 16 as seen in Fig. 8 when unit 114 with the body 116 is insert into 96. The circuit in Fig. 9 that is in unit/body (114/116) is within/self-contained the collar unit/adapter 16 and does convert AC to DC (claim 14).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory D. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2045. The examiner can normally be reached on varying schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayprakash Gandhi can be reached on (571) 272- 3740. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/517,727 Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory D Thompson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2835

Gregory D Thompson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835